- 1		
1	AVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) nited States Attorney	
2 3	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	ALEXANDRA SHEPARD (CABN 205143) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6767 FAX: (415) 436-7234 alexandra.shepard@usdoj.gov	
5		
6		
7 8		
	Attorneys for United States of America	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 3: 20-MJ-71726 MAG
14	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING RULE 5 AND SPEEDY TRIAL) TIME FROM DECEMBER 14, 2020 TO
15	v.	
16	GAGE PASCOE,) FEBRUARY 1, 2021)
17	Defendant.	
18))
19		_)
20	The parties, through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows:	
21	On December 14 and 22, 2020, the Court held a detention hearing for Gage Pascoe, who stands	
22	charged by Complaint with distribution of narcotics resulting in death or great bodily injury, in violation	
23 24	of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(C). On December 22, 2020, the Court set	
	the next appearance in the case for February 1, 2021.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CTIDIII ATION AND IDDODOSEDIODDED	

The parties are currently discussing a resolution to this case. The government has produced a significant amount of discovery in this case, and plans to produce more discovery in the near future. Therefore, the parties hereby stipulate and agree:

- 1. The time between December 14, 2020 and February 1, 2021, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act because failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. *See id.* § 3161(h)(7)(A).
- Taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, there is
 good cause to extend the time limits under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c).
 Accordingly, the time limits for conducting a preliminary hearing are tolled from December
 14, 2020, until February 1, 2021.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 22, 2020

| S |
| Elisse Larouche |
| Attorney for Defendant Gage Pascoe |
| Dated: December 22, 2020 |
| Alexandra Shepard |
| Assistant United States Attorney |

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1 2

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between December 14, 2020 and February 1, 2021, would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between December 14, 2020 and February 1, 2021 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The Court also finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between December 14, 2020 and February 1 1, 2021 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1.

DATED: December 23, 2020

HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge